G. Thomas Martin, III, Esq. (SBN 218456) Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 401 Los Angeles, CA 90025 T: (323) 988-2400; F: (866) 802-0021 tmartin@consumerlawcenter.com Attorneys for Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2010 FEB 12 PM 3: 36

CLERK US DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
DEPUTY

11/1

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRSITINE LINDGREN PETERS	Case NIO CV U355 JLS IVL			
Plaintiff,	) VERIFIED COMPLAINT AND DEMAND ) FOR JURY TRIAL			
vs.  LAW OFFICES OF HARRIS & ZIDE  Defendant.	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) ) Demand Does Not Exceed \$10,000			

### **COMPLAINT**

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, CHRSITINE LINDGREN PETERS ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., and for Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, LAW OFFICES OF HARRIS & ZIDE, alleges and affirmatively states as follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

1. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to

promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. (15 U.S.C. 1692(a) – (e)).

- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices. (Cal. Civ. Code §1788.1(a) (b)).
- 3. Plaintiff, through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of the LAW OFFICES OF HARRIS & ZIDE (hereinafter "Defendant") with regard to attempts by Defendant, a debt collector, to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- 4. For the purposes of this Petition, unless otherwise indicated, "Defendant" includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers of Defendant named in this caption.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy" and 28 U.S.C. 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 6. Defendant maintains a business office and conducts business in the state of California, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

- 7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).
- 8. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202.

### **PARTIES**

- 9. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in San Diego, California and is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1692a(3).
- 10. Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is a law firm located in Pasadena, California.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).

## **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 14. Defendant constantly and continuously placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged debt.
- 15. Defendant talked to Plaintiff in an abusive and harassing manner.
- 16. Defendant threatened to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff if Plaintiff did not pay the alleged debt, even though Defendant does not intend to do so and cannot legally do so, as the statute of limitations has elapsed.

#### **COUNT I**

# <u>DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT,</u> (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

- 17. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the foregoing paragraphs.
- 18. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
  - a. Defendant Violated §1692(d) of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff.
  - b. Defendant Violated  $\S 1692(d)(2)$  of the FDCPA by using language the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, and abuse Plaintiff.
  - c. Defendant Violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring and engaging Plaintiff repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass.
  - d. Defendant violated §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff when Defendant did not intend to take such action and cannot legally take such action because the statute of limitations has expired.
  - e. Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using deceptive means in an attempt to collect a debt by threatening to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff when Defendant did not intend to take such action and cannot legally take such action because the statute of limitations has expired.

#### **COUNT II**

## DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (RFDCPA), Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq.

19. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the foregoing

7

13

12

1.5

14

17

16

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

25

paragraphs.

- 20. Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:
- a. Defendant violated §1788.11(d) of the RFDCPA by placing collection calls to Plaintiff repeatedly and continuously so as to annoy Plaintiff.
- b. Defendant violated §1788.11(e) of the RFDCPA by placing collection calls to Plaintiff with such frequency as to be unreasonable and to constitute and harassment to Plaintiff under the circumstances.
- c. Defendant violated  $\S1788.13(j)$  of the RFDCPA by threatening to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff if Plaintiff did not pay the alleged debt when Defendant did not intend to take such action and cannot legally take such action because the statute of limitations has expired.
- d. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 21. As a consequence of Defendant's foregoing actions, Plaintiff has suffered from emotional distress (See Exhibit "A").

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- 22. Declaratory judgment that the Defendant's conduct violated the State Act, RFDCPA, and Federal Act, FDCPA.
  - 23. Actual damages.
  - 24. Statutory damages pursuant to the State Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b).
  - 25. Statutory damages pursuant to the Federal Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k.
- 26. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to the State Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c) and the Federal Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692k.

27. Any other relief that this court deems to be just and proper. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, DATED: February 12, 2010 KROHN & MOSS, LTD. By: G. Thomas Martin, III Attorney for Plaintiff **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, , hereby demands trial by jury in this action. 

1 2 3 4 VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION 5 6 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 Plaintiff, CHRSITINE LINDGREN PETERS, says as follows: 8 I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding. 1. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe 9 2. that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information 10 and belief formed after reasonable inquiry. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing 3. 11 law or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing 12 4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a 13 needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the Complaint. 14 I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it. 5. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to б. 15 this Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original. 7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate. I 16 have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations. 17 18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, CHRSITINE LINDGREN PETERS, hereby declare 19 (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct 20 21 22 CHRSITINE LINDGREN PETERS, PLAINTIFF 23 24 25

SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

and over declar shoot. (BEB)	MATRICE HOLAS ON THE REVI	JAGE OF THE PORM.)		n n	~ 05	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	WATER 12 PM	<del>3: 35</del>	
CHRSITINE LINDGREN PETERS			LAW OFFICES	LAW OFFICES OF HARRIS & ZIDE - COUNTY		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (CA)  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of NOTE: IN LAN	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Los Angeles (CA) COUNTY OF THE LOCATION OF THE L		
			LAND	INVOILVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)	.0-5-	JLS NLS	
Krohn & Moss, Ltd. Ryan Lee (323) 988-2400 1 <u>0474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite</u> 401 Los Angeles, CA 90025			70 C	103 <b>5</b> 5	JEO MES	
II. BASIS OF JURIS			L CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government	☑ 3 Federal Question	0 50 0,	(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	and One Box for Defendant)	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		1	rincipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 14	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	O 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2		
Detendant	(Indicate Citizenshi	ip of Parties in Item III)	ori original	of Business In		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUI			FORFEITURE/PENALTY	PERSON DANIZO CIDEO VISSOSO	ELEKOTHER STATUTES PERF	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	☐ 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractice  365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen		Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal	G 630 Liquor Laws G 640 R.R. & Truck	■ PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation D470 Racketeer Influenced and	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability  350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (1395ff)	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage  385 Property Damage	Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate · Sentence	790 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters     894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations  444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act  900Appeal of Fee Determination	
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other	462 Naturalization Application	•	Under Equal Access	
	Employment  446 Amer, w/Disabilities -		☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		to Justice 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other  440 Other Civil Rights		☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 R	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reopened anothe			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON		ing (Do not cite jurisdictions	statutes unless diversity):		
	Unlawful and at	ousive debt collection	· !	OMEON ALSO	<u> </u>	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR				
02/08/2010		/s/G. Thomas Mar	tin, III \ Jh   In	Ar		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	1		A CHACK	1 1 11/11/11	V.	
RECEIPT # 10194 A	MOUNT \$350-	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUE	OGE	

NB 02/12-10

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CASO10194

Cashier ID: mbain

Transaction Date: 02/12/2010 Payer Name: KROHN AND MOSS

CIVIL FILING FEE

For: PETERS V HARRIS AND ZIDE

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-000355-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 20904

Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due:

\$350.00

Total Tendered: \$350.00

Change Amt:

\$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.